

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     |                | FILING DATE                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/001,755          | 755 10/24/2001 |                             | Peter William Taylor | 4-20251C/C1C1/MA2102    | 5831             |
| 1095                | 7590           | 06/29/2005                  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| NOVART              |                |                             | YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H  |                         |                  |
| CORPORA<br>ONE HEAL |                | LLECTUAL PROPEI<br>ZA 104/3 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| EAST HAN            | OVER, 1        | NJ 07936-1080               | 1643                 |                         |                  |
|                     |                |                             |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/29/2009 | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summan   | 10/001,755  | TAYLOR ET AL.                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Christopher H. Yaen   | 1642                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2005.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final. |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-28 and 30-34</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-28 and 30-33</u> is/are rejected.   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| occurs attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary (                                      |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:   | ygrava y roccosyc V post of TTV |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. D. L. L. C. C.  |   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/001,755 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Re: Taylor et al

1. The amendment filed 3/11/2005 is acknowledged and entered into the record. Accordingly, claims 1-21,29 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

- 2. Claims 22-28,30-34 are pending, claim 34 is withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 3. Claims 22-28 and 30-33 are examined on the merits.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 103

5. The rejection of claims 22-28 and 30-33 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being obvious over Fidler *et al* (EP 0331635A2) in view of Weiner *et al* (WO 91/01719) as evidenced by Yau-Young (WO 87/04592) is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant argues that the teachings of Yau-Young *et al* teach away from the claimed invention because the teachings support the assertion that the addition of cholesterol to the liposomal components must be examined on a case by case basis. Specifically, applicant relies on the statement that cholesterol "...produced very little change in the rate of release of either lipid or encapsulated peptide from the site of injection[.]" to support the unobviousness of the addition of cholesterol. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive to overcome the rejection of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,755

Art Unit: 1642

The arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. In re Schulze, 346 F.2d 600, 602, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 1965); In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Examples of attorney statements which are not evidence and which must be supported by an appropriate affidavit or declaration include statements regarding unexpected results, commercial success, solution of a long-felt need, inoperability of the prior art, invention before the date of the reference, and allegations that the author(s) of the prior art derived the disclosed subject matter from the applicant. In the instant case, applicant has indicated that the instant invention has "enhanced release characteristics" of which have not been support by affidavit or declaration, rather the statements are only support by arguments of counsel. Moreover, the teachings of Yau-Young et al have been taken out of context. In fact, Yau-Young et al indicate that when cholesterol is added to PC, the release characteristics were increased as opposed to those that lacked the cholesterol. However, when PG was studied, the addition of cholesterol "produced very little change in the rate of release of either lipid or encapsulated peptide from the site of injection." Since the instant invention concerns primarily PC and not PG, the statements relied upon by the applicant have been misplaced. Therefore, the addition of cholesterol to the composition as claimed would have been obvious over the teachings of Fidler et al in view of Weiner et al and as evidenced by Yau-Young et al, in the absence of unexpected results.

Therefore, the rejection the claims under 35 U.S.C.§103(a) as being obvious is maintained for the reasons of record.

Art Unit: 1642

All other rejections are withdrawn in view of the applicant's amendments and arguments thereto as set forth in a paper filed 3/11/05.

## **Conclusion**

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H. Yaen whose telephone number is 571-272-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642 June 14, 2005

OCRY PATENT EXAMINER

6/22/05